Page 1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY )
PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC )
TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT )
PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK )
ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC, )

Plaintiffs,

VS.

) NO. 07-CV-2203

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER )
LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al.,)
on behalf of themselves and all )
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

) NO. 07-CV-3582

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CHRIS MAXCY SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA THURSDAY, AUGUST 28, 2008

BY: ANDREA M. IGNACIO HOWARD, CSR, RPR, CLR CSR LICENSE NO. 9830 JOB NO. 15485

	Page 2
1	AUGUST 28, 2008
2	10:04 a.m.
3	
4	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CHRIS MAXCY,
5	SHEARMAN & STERLING, 525 Market Street,
6	San Francisco, California, pursuant to notice,
7	before ANDREA M. IGNACIO HOWARD, CLR, RPR, CSR
8	License No. 9830.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC.:
4	JENNER & BLOCK
5	By: MELISSA COX, Esq.
6	1099 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 900
7	Washington, D.C. 20001
8	(202) 639-6000 melissacox@jenner.com
9	
10	FOR THE LEAD PLAINTIFFS AND PROSPECTIVE CLASS:
11	PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
12	By: HAL S. SHAFTEL, Esq.
13	1585 Broadway
14	New York, New York 10036-8299
15	(212) 969-3230 hshaftel@proskauer.com
16	
17	FOR THE DEFENDANTS YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC and
18	GOOGLE, INC.:
19	MAYER BROWN LLP
20	By: JOHN MANCINI, Esq.
21	ERIC D. DOWELL, Esq.
22	1675 Broadway
23	New York, New York 10019
24	(212) 506-2146 jmancini@mayerbrown.com
25	

	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES (Continued.)
2	
3	ALSO PRESENT:
4	GOOGLE INC.
5	By: ADAM L. BAREA, Litigation Counsel
6	1600 Amphitheater Parkway
7	Mountain View, California 94043
8	(650) 214-4879 adambarea@google.com
9	
10	KELLY TRUELOVE, Ph.D., Consultant
11	LOU MEADOWS, Videographer
12	
13	000
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

	Page 42
1	MAXCY
10:53:31 2	A Warner Music Group.
10:53:38 3	Q I believe you testified YouTube seeks to
10:53:39 4	generate revenue from advertising; is that fair?
10:53:43 5	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered.
10:53:46 6	THE WITNESS: Yes.
10:53:47 7	MR. SHAFTEL: Q. And when you began in
10:54:03 8	December '05 or early '06, where was YouTube
10:54:14 9	displaying advertising on its site?
10:54:16 10	MR. MANCINI: Objection to form.
10:54:17 11	THE WITNESS: I don't recall the specifics.
10:54:25 12	I think you'd have to talk to somebody else about
10:54:28 13	that, but I do recall that we had advertising on the
10:54:33 14	home page.
10:54:34 15	MR. SHAFTEL: Q. Do you recall if there was
10:54:39 16	advertising anywhere else?
10:54:44 17	MR. MANCINI: Same objection.
10:54:45 18	THE WITNESS: At that time, I can't recall
10:54:47 19	exactly where it was, so I I don't want to
10:54:51 20	speculate.
10:54:52 21	MR. SHAFTEL: Q. Do you recall there came a
10:54:53 22	point in time when there was advertising beyond the
10:54:55 23	home page?
10:54:57 24	A Yes.
10:54:57 25	Q When? When was that?

		Do mo. 42
	7	Page 43
	1	MAXCY
10:54:59	2	A I don't recall exact dates.
10:55:00	3	Q Where can can you approximate a time
10:55:07	4	frame when you can first recall advertising somewhere
10:55:09	5	other than the home page?
10:55:10	6	MR. MANCINI: Objection to form.
10:55:11	7	THE WITNESS: I can't recall specific dates,
10:55:13	8	no.
10:55:13	9	MR. SHAFTEL: Q. What do you mean by the
10:55:14	10	"home page" by the way?
10:55:16	11	A The youtube.com front page.
10:55:19	12	Q Well, you know today, am I correct, that
10:55:23	13	there's advertising beyond the home page?
10:55:25	14	A That's correct.
10:55:25	15	Q Now, does the home page display any content
10:55:34	16	that has been provided by a third party to YouTube?
10:55:43	17	MR. MANCINI: Objection to form.
10:55:44	18	THE WITNESS: All of the content that we have
10:55:49	19	on our site has been provided by a third-party,
10:55:51	20	uploaders.
10:55:52	21	MR. SHAFTEL: Q. Well, you described a home
10:55:54	22	page as a page, in essence, which said YouTube.com or
10:55:58	23	something like that.
10:55:59	24	A Right.
10:55:59	25	Q So what I'm trying to distinguish is on that,

		Page 215
	1	MAXCY
17:08:07	2	the status of their approval, whether they had
17:08:10	3	approved or not approved.
17:08:14	4	Q And after a contract is executed, does it
17:08:17	5	continue to reside within the Simba system?
17:08:19	6	A To my understanding, yes.
17:08:24	7	Q Are there any fields for comments within the
17:08:30	8	Simba system?
17:08:35	9	A Yes.
17:08:35	10	Q So somebody, for example, who's reviewing a
17:08:40	11	potential agreement that is captured within Simba is
17:08:44	12	able to relate comments that she or he has about the
17:08:49	13	proposed agreement?
17:08:51	14	A That's correct.
17:08:51	15	Q And is this something which is accessible
17:08:54	16	from your computer in your office?
17:08:55	17	A Yes.
17:08:56	18	Q Okay. Let me mark as Exhibit 21 the next
17:09:01	19	document, 10746.
17:09:01	20	(Document marked Maxcy Exhibit 21
17:09:23	21	for identification.)
17:09:32	22	MR. SHAFTEL: Q. Let me know when you've had
17:09:34	23	a chance to review it, Mr. Maxcy.
17:10:08	24	A Okay.
17:10:11	25	Q The top the only e-mail on this page,

		Page 216
	1	MAXCY
17:10:17	2	you're writing to Mr. Eun, and you're providing a
17:10:20	3	summary of apparently what you discussed on, quote,
17:10:28	4	the "H.264 encoding question." What are you referring
17:10:31	5	to by "H.264 encoding"?
17:10:36	6	A This is an e-mail describing how YouTube
17:10:46	7	encodes video. So there's different I have a very
17:10:52	8	basic understanding of this, but apparently there are
17:10:55	9	different formats that you can convert a file into
17:10:58	10	when it's been uploaded to YouTube, and H.264 is a
17:11:05	11	format that is compatible with viewing through mobile
17:11:11	12	devices and other devices.
17:11:11	13	
		Q So this process relates to YouTube's
17:11:19	14	syndication of content to third parties?
17:11:23	15	MR. MANCINI: Objection to form.
17:11:24	16	THE WITNESS: Could you rephrase?
17:11:25	17	MR. SHAFTEL: Yeah.
17:11:26	18	Q Am I correct that YouTube engages in the
17:11:30	19	H.264 encoding in order to then syndicate the content
17:11:35	20	to third parties?
17:11:41	21	A That is one reason. As far as I'm aware,
17:11:46	22	H.264 is also a higher quality format, even when video
17:11:50	23	is being delivered through a web page. And again,
17:11:54	24	from what I've been told, this was something that was
17:11:59	25	done to improve the viewing experience, not just on

		Page 217
	1	MAXCY
17:12:03	2	mobile and devices, but on the YouTube website.
17:12:09	3	Q So the content undergoes H.264 encoding even
17:12:19	4	when the content stays on the YouTube site, it is not
17:12:25	5	distributed or syndicated to third parties?
17:12:28	6	MR. MANCINI: Objection to the extent that
17:12:29	7	this witness has any knowledge of that technical
17:12:32	8	THE WITNESS: I don't know the answer to
17:12:33	9	that.
17:12:33	10	MR. SHAFTEL: Q. Is that your understanding?
17:12:35	11	A I I don't want to speculate. I think the
17:12:39	12	best person to talk to would be the engineering team.
17:12:47	13	Q In your summary here, you refer to to
17:12:52	14	date, and this is August '07, "YouTube engineering
17:12:57	15	team has re-encoded approximately 30,000 of the top
17:13:00	16	watched videos on YouTube."
17:13:02	17	A Yes.
17:13:02	18	Q How was that 30,000 selected?
17:13:13	19	A I don't know.
17:13:13	20	Q Do you know who selected it? What person or
17:13:19	21	group of people?
17:13:22	22	A I don't. I think that the engineering team
17:13:24	23	would probably be the best to tell you. The way I
17:13:27	24	read this, it was probably an algorithm based on the
17:13:33	25	top viewed videos.
İ		

		Page 218
	1	MAXCY
17:13:34	2	Q Do you know how much of YouTube's content has
17:13:40	3	been H.264 encoded today?
17:13:44	4	A It's my understanding that the entire catalog
17:13:48	5	at this point has been converted.
17:13:49	6	Q Where does that where does that process
17:13:56	7	take place?
17:13:57	8	A I have no idea.
17:14:00	9	Q There's also a reference in your summary to a
17:14:05	10	WAP, in caps, site. What is that?
17:14:10	11	A That's an acronym standing for Wireless
17:14:13	12	Access Protocol or a mobile site, a mobile website
17:14:18	13	that would be viewable on a mobile device.
17:14:24	14	MR. SHAFTEL: I'm told we need to change
17:14:26	15	the the tape.
17:14:28	16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. This marks the end
17:14:31	17	of videotape number three. Off the record.
17:14:33	18	The time is 5:14 p.m.
17:14:34	19	(Recess taken.)
17:26:40	20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record. This marks
17:26:42	21	the beginning of videotape number four in the
17:26:44	22	deposition of Chris Maxcy on August 28, 2008. The
17:26:47	23	time is 5:26 p.m.
17:26:48	24	Please continue.
17:26:50	25	MR. SHAFTEL: Q. Sticking with Exhibit 21,

- $^{2}$  | 17:26:54 Mr. Maxcy, before the break for the video change, we
- $^{3}$  |  $^{17:26:59}$  were talking about the re-encoding by August of '07 of
- 4 17:27:06 approximately 30,000 of the top watched videos on
- 5 17:27:11 YouTube, and you had mentioned that the engineers may
- 6 | 17:27:14 have applied some algorithm you were not -- you were
- $7 \mid 17:27:17$  not sure of, I think was your testimony.
- 8 17:27:19 Were you involved or are you aware of what
- 9 | 17:27:23 directions anybody in the engineering department was
- $10 \mid 17:27:26$  given in terms of selecting the 30,000?
- 11 17:27:31 MR. MANCINI: Objection to form.
- 12 | 17:27:33 THE WITNESS: No, I wasn't aware of how they
- $13 \mid 17:27:37$  determined this or the directions they were given.
- 14 17:27:40 MR. SHAFTEL: Q. With regard to any of the
- $15 \mid 17:27:45$  content syndicated by YouTube to third parties, are
- 16 | 17:27:50 you aware of any efforts by YouTube to either review
- 17 | 17:27:58 or select only a portion of content to provide to the
- 18 | 17:28:03 third party?
- 19 17:28:05 MR. MANCINI: Objection to form.
- 20 17:28:06 THE WITNESS: Could you be more specific?
- 21 17:28:11 MR. SHAFTEL: Q. In the deals where YouTube
- 22 | 17:28:14 is syndicating content, are you aware of any instances
- 23 | 17:28:17 where the third party received only a selection of the
- 24 | 17:28:26 content that had been encoded for transmittal?
- 25 17:28:33 MR. MANCINI: Objection to form.

- 2 17:28:34 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- $^{3}$  17:28:41 MR. SHAFTEL: Q. What do you recall about
- $4\mid 17:28:42$  those instances? What was the selection criteria?
- 5 | 17:28:48 A We --
- 6 17:28:49 MR. MANCINI: Objection to form; compound
- 7 | 17:28:50 question.
- 8 17:28:52 THE WITNESS: We had a partnership, or we
- 9 | 17:28:55 have a partnership with Verizon VCAST in which we made
- 10 | 17:29:04 available videos that were featured on YouTube's
- 11 | 17:29:09 website for the VCAST platform. So any video that had
- 12 | 17:29:14 been featured by YouTube on its front page was
- 13 | 17:29:17 available for display on VCAST.
- 14 17:29:21 MR. SHAFTEL: Q. And other YouTube videos,
- $15 \mid 17:29:23$  meaning videos displayed on YouTube, were not
- 16 17:29:26 accessible or available on the Verizon VCAST?
- 17 | 17:29:30 A That's correct.
- 18 17:29:30 Q Did you have discussions with Verizon about
- 19 | 17:29:34 that restriction?
- 20 17:29:37 A Yes.
- 21 | 17:29:37 Q And why did Verizon want that restriction?
- 22 | 17:29:42 A Verizon was concerned about the
- 23 | 17:29:45 appropriateness of the content. More specifically,
- 24 | 17:29:50 they were worried about pornography, child
- 25 17:29:55 pornography, or illegal acts.

- 2 | 17:29:58 Q And when you say that it was featured content
- 3 | 17:30:04 that was accessible or available on Verizon VCAST, did
- $4 \mid 17:30:10$  YouTube personnel play a role in selecting that
- $5 \mid 17:30:13$  content to put on the home page?
- 6 17:30:15 MR. MANCINI: Objection to form.
- 7 | 17:30:16 THE WITNESS: Yes. The YouTube site has a
- 8 | 17:30:21 functionality that allows users to notify YouTube of
- 9 | 17:30:29 content that they find interesting that says "Please
- 10 | 17:30:34 feature that content," and that content is then sent
- 11 | 17:30:39 to YouTube personnel, editorial personnel, for review
- $12 \mid 17:30:42$  and consideration for featuring on the front page of
- 13 | 17:30:45 YouTube.
- 14 17:30:46 MR. SHAFTEL: Q. And do the YouTube
- 15 | 17:30:47 editorial personnel review for copyright issues?
- 16 17:30:51 A Not to my knowledge, no.
- 17 | 17:30:52 Q Did you speak to Verizon VCAST about this
- 18 | 17:30:58 limitation or the scope of content that would be
- 19 | 17:31:01 supplied to Verizon?
- 20 17:31:03 A Could you be more specific?
- 21 | 17:31:08 Q Yeah.
- 22 | 17:31:08 Did you yourself have a role in the
- 23 | 17:31:10 discussions with Verizon VCAST about the scope of
- 24 | 17:31:15 content being limited to featured content?
- 25 | 17:31:17 A Tangentially, I didn't complete the Verizon

- $2 \mid 17:31:20$  deal. Someone on my team did.
- 3 17:31:22 O Who?
- 4 17:31:23 A Kelly Liang, L-I-A-N-G.
- 5 17:31:26 Q You said you didn't complete the deal. Were
- 6 | 17:31:29 you yourself involved in any discussions with Verizon
- $7 \mid 17:31:34$  about the scope of content?
- 8 17:31:36 A Yes.
- 9 17:31:36 Q Who did you speak with at Verizon?
- 10 17:31:42 A I don't recall all the people, but there was
- $11 \mid 17:31:45$  one individual named Jennifer Byrne at Verizon who is
- $12 \mid 17:31:51$  a business development person there.
- 13 17:31:52 Q She was your principal contact there?
- 14 17:31:55 A Yes.
- 15 17:31:55 Q Were there any discussions about copyright
- 16 | 17:31:57 issues with Verizon that you were party to?
- 17 | 17:32:04 A I believe so, yes.
- 18 17:32:05 Q What do you recall about those?
- 19 17:32:10 A Honestly, I don't recall the details. I do
- $20 \mid 17:32:12$  recall that there were conversations about copyright.
- $21 \mid 17:32:15$  Q And Verizon expressed concerns about having
- 22 | 17:32:19 unauthorized copyrighted content displayed on Verizon
- 23 17:32:26 VCAST?
- 24 17:32:27 A Yes.
- $25 \mid 17:32:27$  O And did that factor into other interests as